

JFW

DAC

PATENT Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 07414.0036

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	) Allowed: January 20, 2004
Louis B. HOFF et al.	) Group Art Unit: 2877
Application No.: 09/964,778	) Examiner: L. Lauchman
Filed: September 28, 2001	)
For: SHAPED ILLUMINATION GEOMETRY AND INTENSITY USING A DIFFRACTIVE OPTICAL ELEMENT	) ) )

Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

# <u>APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT</u>

Applicants hereby apply for a patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) of one hundred eighty (180) days.

### I. Statement of the Facts Involved

The Patent Term Adjustment History report compiled by the PTO and printed from PAIR identifies the events in the file and the associated delays causing an adjustment to the patent term.<sup>1</sup> A copy of the PTA report for this case is attached. Item 9 on the PTA report, which is dated March 15, 2002, shows an Applicant delay of 37

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Private PAIR was not accessible by applicants' representatives at the time the Notice of Allowance was received, but only became accessible subsequently. Accordingly, it was not possible at that time to use PAIR to determine the error described herein in the Office's term adjustment determination.

days for responding to the November 6, 2001, Notice to File Missing Parts. However, Applicants responded to the Notice to File Missing Parts on March 6, 2002, which is evident from items 7-8 and 11-12 on the PTA report. Thus, Applicants delay was only 28 days. Since the PTO delay of 208 days is correct, the correct Patent Term Adjustment should be 180 days (208 - 28 = 180) and not the 171 days reported by the Office with the January 20, 2004, Notice of Allowance.

### II. Relevant Dates

- 1. The application (09/964,778) was filed September 28, 2001.
- 2. A Notice to File Missing Parts was mailed November 6, 2001 (PAIR entry 4).
- 3. A proper Response to Notice to File Missing Parts and Petition for a one month Extension of Time was filed on March 6, 2002, resulting in an Applicant delay of 28 days (PAIR entries 7-8, 11-12).
- 4. The first Office Action was mailed June 24, 2003, resulting in a PTO delay of 208 days beyond the 14 months provided by 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) (PAIR entry 16).
- 5. A Response to the Office Action was filed September 24, 2003. (No associated delay.) (PAIR entry 19.)
- 6. A Notice of Allowance, Notice of Allowability, and Determination of Patent Term Adjustment were mailed January 20, 2004. (No associated delay.) (PAIR entry 26.)
- 8. The issue fee and a Fee Address form were filed April 12, 2004. (No associated delay.) (PAIR entry 32.)

Thus, the total PTO delay was 208 days and the total Applicant delay was 28 days. Accordingly, Applicants are entitled to a patent term adjustment of 180 days.

Application No. 09/964,778 Attorney Docket No. 07414.0036

Applicants respectfully request that the current patent term adjustment of 171 days be

reconsidered, and that the additional 9 days of patent term adjustment erroneously

deducted from the application on March 15, 2002, be reinstated to reflect a corrected

total of 180 days of patent term adjustment due in this case.

III. Terminal Disclaimer

This application is not subject to a Terminal Disclaimer.

IV. Reasonable Efforts

There were no circumstances constituting a failure to engage in reasonable

efforts to conclude processing or examination of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/964,778

as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.704.

V. Fee

Dated: April 27, 2004

As required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)(1), this Application is accompanied by the

\$200.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e). If an extension of time is needed to enter

this Application, or if the check is missing, or is drafted for an insufficient amount,

Applicants hereby request such extension and authorize the Commissioner to charge

the necessary amount to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

By:

Mark J. Feldstein

Reg. No. 46,693

-3-

Patent Term Adjustmen	Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) for publication number: 09/964,778				
			Days		
Filing or 371(c) Date:	09-28-2001	USPTO Delay (PTO):	208		
Issue Date of Patent:	-	Three Years:	•		
Pre-Issue Petitions (days):	+0	Applicant Delay (APPL):	37		
Post-Issue Petitions (days):	+0	Total PTA:	171		
USPTO Adjustment (days):	+0	Explanation of Calculations			

Select Search Option

# Continuity Data Display References Image File Wrapper File History Publication Review Published Documents

Search

,		Patent Term Adjustment History		
Number	Date	Contents Description		APPL (days)
26	01-20-2004	Mail Notice of Allowance		
25	01-12-2004	Issue Revision Completed		
24		Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed		
23		Receipt of all Acknowledgement Letters		
22		Notice of Allowability		
20		Date Forwarded to Examiner	1	
19		Response after Non-Final Action		
18	09-24-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		Û
17	03-07-2003	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		Û
16	06-24-2003	Mail Non-Final Rejection	208	Û
15	06-16-2003	Non-Final Rejection	11	î
14	07-06-2002	Receipt of Acknowledgment Letter	1	î
13	04-14-2002	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	1	
12	03-06-2002	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	1	1
11	03-06-2002	Oath or Declaration Filed (Including Supplemental)		1
10	03-15-2002	Application Dispatched from OIPE		1
9	03-15-2002	Application Is Now Complete	1	37
8	03-06-2002	Additional Application Filing Fees	1	î
7	03-06-2002	A statement by one or more inventors satisfying the requirement under 35 USC 115, Oath of the Applic		
6	02-05-2002	Receipt of Acknowledgment Letter		1
5	11-09-2001	Referred by L&R for Third-Level Security Review. Agency Referral Letter Generated		î
4	11-06-2001	Notice MailedApplication IncompleteFiling Date Assigned		ũ
3	11-06-2001	Correspondence Address Change	Î	
2	10-05-2001	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review	1	
1	09-28-2001	Initial Exam Team nn		